

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	D. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,537		07/09/2003	Teruaki Komiya	TOW-032	1933
959	7590	03/29/2006		EXAMINER	
	& COCKI	FIELD	WEINER, LAURA S		
	E STREET . MA 0210)9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1745	

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/616,537	KOMIYA, TERUAKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura S. Weiner	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	:s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this commu CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	ulv 2003.					
, ,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
···_			•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Evaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	-	, ,	.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • •	•	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•				
1. Certified copies of the priority document		t. N.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		•				
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea 	•	eu in this National Sta	ge			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed				
ood the data shou detailed office detail for a list	or the contined copies not receiv	ou.				
Attachment(s)	•					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	atent Application (F10-15.	-			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: a solid polymer electrolyte comprising: A) a basic solid polymer as the base material and B) a material which has at least one lone pair. The species are independent or distinct because A) the polymer can be polymer of Formula (1), Formula (2), Formula (3) or Formula (4) cited in claim 3 or be specifically polybenzimidazole. Please pick one from above. B) the material can be a compound having a nitrogencontaining heterocyclic compound group, having an amino group, having an imino group or a nitrogen-containing heterocyclic compound. Please pick one from (claim 9 or 10) or 12 or 14.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, 5-6 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 10/616,537

Art Unit: 1745

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was not made due to the complexity to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement so therefore an election has not been made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura S. Weiner whose telephone number is 571-272-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00).

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura S Weiner Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

March 23, 2006